UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/713,455	11/17/2003	Kazuya Suzuki	8022-1063	2290		
	7590 09/26/2007		EXAMINER			
YOUNG & THOMPSON 745 SOUTH 23RD STREET 2ND FLOOR ARLINGTON, VA 22202			ZEE, EDWARD			
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
,		•	2135			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
			09/26/2007	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	_//
_	71 / K
/~	KM /
-/	///
ι	,,

	•	Application	n No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/713,45	5	SUZUKI ET AL.			
		Examiner		Art Unit			
		Edward Ze	e	2135			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communicati or Reply	on appears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR DEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING INTERPRETATION OF THE MAILING OF	NG DATE OF TH CFR 1.136(a). In no evention. It period will apply and will by statute, cause the apply	IS COMMUNICATION nt, however, may a reply be tin I expire SIX (6) MONTHS from location to become ABANDONE	N . nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status							
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed or	ı					
2a)□							
3)							
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	on of Claims				•		
4) 🖂	Claim(s) 1-59 is/are pending in the appli	cation.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are w		nsideration.	,			
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
· <u> </u>	Claim(s) is/are rejected.			•			
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)⊠	Claim(s) 1-59 are subject to restriction a	nd/or election req	uirement.				
Applicati	on Papers			•			
	The specification is objected to by the Ex	aminer					
	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)[		Objected to by the I	Examiner			
٠٠,٥	Applicant may not request that any objection	•	-				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the			• •	).		
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by	•		, ,			
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for f  All b) Some * c) None of:  Certified copies of the priority doce  Certified copies of the priority doce  Copies of the certified copies of the	uments have bee uments have bee	n received. n received in Applicati	on No			
	application from the International I	•					
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for		,	ed.			
Attachmen	t(s)						
1) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9 mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	148)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P				
	r No(s)/Mail Date		6) Other:	and the principles			
			•				

Art Unit: 2135

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - I. Claims 1-19, drawn to a multicast delivery system comprising a delivery server, a
     key management server and a client terminal, classified in class 713, subclass
     163.
  - II. Claims 20-25 and 40-45, drawn to a delivery sever comprising a key data management table and key managing section, classified in class 726, subclass 29.
  - III. Claims 26-37 and 46-57, drawn to a key management server comprising a key data management table and key managing section, classified in class 380, subclass 279.
- IV. Claims 38-39 and 58-59, drawn to a client terminal comprising a key data management table and key managing section, classified in class 726, subclass 29.

  The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:
- 2. Inventions I and II are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the multicast delivery system in Invention I does not require a delivery server, which specifically comprises a key management table and key managing section, to function

Art Unit: 2135

properly. The subcombination has separate utility such as performing encryption and content distribution in any digital rights management system.

- 3. Inventions I and III are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the multicast delivery system in Invention I does not require a key management server, which specifically comprises a key management table and key managing section, to function properly. The subcombination has separate utility such as performing as a key escrow services or a key distribution center.
- 4. Inventions I and IV are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the multicast delivery system in Invention I does not require a client terminal, which specifically comprises a key management table and key managing section, to function properly. The subcombination has separate utility such as performing as a client terminal in any digital rights management system.
- 5. Inventions II, III and IV are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct if they do not overlap in scope and are not

Art Unit: 2135

obvious variants, and if it is shown that at least one subcombination is separately usable. In the instant case, subcombination of Invention II has separate utility such as performing encryption and content distribution in any digital rights management system. The subcombination of Invention III has separate utility such as performing as a key escrow services or a key distribution center. The subcombination of Invention IV has separate utility such as performing as a client terminal in any digital rights management system. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

The examiner has required restriction between subcombinations usable together. Where applicant elects a subcombination and claims thereto are subsequently found allowable, any claim(s) depending from or otherwise requiring all the limitations of the allowable subcombination will be examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. See MPEP § 821.04(a). Applicant is advised that if any claim presented in a continuation or divisional application is anticipated by, or includes all the limitations of, a claim that is allowable in the present application, such claim may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application.

- 6. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 7. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

Art Unit: 2135

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

8. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Edward Zee whose telephone number is (571) 270-1686. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday 9:00AM-5:00PM EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kim Y. Vu can be reached on (571) 272-3859. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2135

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

EZ September 14, 2007

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER